

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
AT SHIMLA

CWP No. 476 of 2004

Date of Decision:24th April, 2007

Smt. Nisha Devi	Petitioner
Versus	
Satluj Jal Vidyut Nigam & others	Respondents.

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sanjay Karol,J.

Whether approved for reporting¹?

For the petitioner: Mr.Vinay Kuthiala, Advocate.

For the respondent: Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate,
vice counsel for respondents No.1
and 2.

For respondent No.3: Mr.C.B.Singh, Dy. Advocate
General.

Sanjay Karol, J. (Oral)

Heard.

The certificate that the petitioner falls within the category of landless persons after acquisition of her land in terms of the scheme formulated by respondent No.1 was not issued by respondent No.3. The definition of the "family" as appearing in the original scheme formulated by respondent No.1 has come to be amended on 7.12.1999.

Whether the reporters of Local Papers are allowed to see the Judgment?

In view of the amended definition of the "family", it is directed that the case of the petitioner will be reconsidered by respondent No.3 and in case it is found that she has become landless within the meaning of the amended definition, the requisite certificate shall be issued in her favour by respondent No.3 within two months from today and on such certificate being granted, respondent No.1 will process and decide the case of the petitioner for the grant of relief(s), if any, available to her under the scheme within three months from the date of submission of requisite application supported by certificate of the petitioner.

The writ petition stands disposed of in the above said terms. No order as to costs.

Dasti copy on usual terms.

24th April, 2007
(C)

(Sanjay Karol), J.